

This is a sample paper, kindly use it for research and reference purposes ONLY to help write your own paper.

To Order a plagiarism-free custom written paper on any topic ready for submission!

Click this link https://primenursingpapers.com/orders/



PLSC 283: American Foreign Policy and Process Final Exam

Some parts of the solution are blurred, to order the full copy

kindly email us on: support@primenursingpapers.com

Question 1

In the foreign policy making process, the Executive Branch is one of the U.S. government's institutions granted authority by the U.S. Constitution to create American foreign policy. What powers is it mandated by the Constitution that provide the justification for its participation in foreign policy making, and what do each of those powers pragmatically mean? What is the theory of the unitary executive? Is this theory of the unitary executive a sound explanation or a greater exaggeration of the reasons McCormick gives for the historical trend of presidents accruing foreign policy making power in Chapter 7, The President and the Making of Foreign Policy?

The Executive Branch of the U.S government is headed by the president who is the commander in chief of the armed forces with different roles and powers by the constitution. Additionally, the executive branch consists of several executive departments, which include the department of state, department of national intelligence, and the department of defense, amongst others. The powers of the executive branch are provided in the U.S constitution. In Article II of the constitution, the president is granted the power to implement foreign policies. With the legal authority of the president, he has the power to appoint Senate members and make treaties concerning the consent of the Senate. McCormick states that "The Presidential powers under Article II of the constitution, the president is granted the plenary power to be chief executive" king deals with fixules, bodies. The power to make try ctiations with other nations. One of the most electificant roles of the executive br breign policy. The emoutive branch does this by ows passed by the Congress. The provisions outlis powers to the emoutive branch in the formulation of faceign policy. In the mount p

decision-making process of the American foreign policy has been described to be inefficient and slow stiributing to the power conflicts in the formulation of fireign policy.

Prime Nursing Papers Expert Writers Can Help You Get A Better Grade and **Hire Expert Writer Deliver Your Task on Time! ✓** Affordable Rates ✓ Unique and 100% Plagiarism-free ✓ 100% Privacy and Confidentiality **✓** Prime-Quality Papers ✓ Instructions and Rubric Followed ✓ Fast Turn-around- Short deadlines **Get Writing Help** ted by the legislative branch. The president has the power to sign the legislation into law, g or desying bills made by the Congress, and segotiating and algaing treaties as part of the outive branch's duty to hold diplomacy contact with other autions. In holding diplomacy with wher countries, the President ensures the government maintains cooperation and negotiations with he international community with an aspiration of preserving peace in the world. In the case of stancestional politics and policy, the executive branch through the president is expected by the

public to formulate policy and solutions to resolve such problems (Wilson et al., 2016). In this regard, the executive branch works closely with interactional organizations such as the United Nations, interactional Monstary Pand, World Bank Group, World health organization, and world trade organization.

Conclusively, military and foreign policies are implemented and by the executive branch, particularly the president, with the national security advisor, state department, department of homeland security, defense department, and the intelligence securies. With the recent bureaucratic reform of various acts of such as the Natio bout the powers of the gove U.S fireign policy pensists to this day....bo have been delegated specific thesign affairs powers" (McCormick, 2013, p.253). The constitution mendates that even though the president has the power to formulate and implement international. treaties, he is expected to get approved from the Senate and other bureaucratic agencies for their final ratification. With the president as the commander in chief of the armed forces and the head. of the executive branch, he has the influence over establishment and implementation of families. policy. The president is expected to protect and advence the national interests including the

military, ideological, economic, cultural, and legal interests of the United States. In his assertion, McCormick states that "the provident in the chief emountive but, Congress decides what how are to be embrood; the provident may command the armed forces, but Congress decides whether wars should be inhinted; the provident may negotiate treaties." (McCormick, 2014, p.255).

In the American political discourse, the theory of unitary executive is defined as a doctrine that asserts the power of the provident over the members of the executive branch. In this sense, the president has total control and authority over the ensentive branch. The president functions as the der of the military, daugi of the U.S Countilation which Acticle III. section 3 of the U.S countitation a "...bo (the president) shall take out that the l the officers of the United States" (Grove, 2014, p.150). The theory is a sound explanation of McCocmick's view of the historical trends of presidents related to the power in faceign. policymaking. Regarding the history of George W. Bush administration as the president of the United States, there are clear abuntless that support the executive power of his administration.

McCormick observes that Bush acted unilaterally to formulate floriga policy relating to the war against Iraq. He states, "Clearly, the Bush administration was willing to act alone (or with

on informal confision) in pursuing tyronts and terrorists and in implementing its national security strategy." (McCormick, 2014, p.190). Moreover, the Bush administration used the unitary

executive theory to sign more than 130 legislation statements into law, responding to congressional irresolution, and issuing an executive order, which progressively expanded his presidential authority. Most notably is the actions of Bush as president in which he issued three executive orders just two days after his inauguration (McCormick, 2014). The theory of unitary executive is still a debate in the political and legal discourse which all argue the power of the president and the role of the judiciary and the Congress in limiting the executive responsibilities of the president. Besides, the Congress and the executive branch have been in conflicts in their course of actions and responsibilities. For instance, the congress established the Environmental Protection Agency, which is an independent executive agency in which the executive branch lacks control over it (Cooper, 2014).

Ouestion 2

The Legislative Branch is the other U.S government institution granted the authority by the U.S Constitution to create American foreign policy. What power is it mandated by the Constitution that justify its participation in international policy-making? What is Louis Henkin's Congressional foreign policy power theory and how does it differ from McCormick's portrayal of Congressional foreign policy-making power in Chapter 8, Congressional Prerogatives and the Making of Foreign Policy? What effect does the U.S Supreme Court's decisions in U.S v Belmont and U.S v Pink have on Henkin's theory?

The U.S constitution has granted the legislative branch the several powers stated in the Article I, section 8. These powers justify the legislature to participate in the foreign policy-making process (Grove, 2014). One of the most critical function is the power to make and change laws. Additionally, the legislative branch has the power to tax, borrow money, and establish rules for the naturalization of foreigners seeking citizenship, to regulate commerce with foreign nations and among the states, to establish a post office, to declare war, and to raise and maintain an army and

navy. The legislative branch can influence the federal economic policy. This is regarding the power of the legislature to create an annual budget for the federal government. The budgetary power of the legislature is facilitated through the collection of taxes and tariffs. In his arguments, McCormick (2014), states that "...house members, who viewed foreign policy as an important part of their legislative activities." (p.337). In essence, the legislature can direct the spending plan for the federal finances. In this sense that the president passes signs the bills created by the legislature into laws, therefore, the legislature may influence any laws related to foreign policy.

Louis Henkin's Congressional foreign policy power theory was demonstrated by Professor Louis Henkin to provide an emphasis on the effectiveness of the American foreign policy system. In his theory, Louis Henkin described the roles and powers of the President and the Congress in participating in foreign policy making and implementation. In his arguments, Henkin notes that o formulation of familyn policy by requiring the executive to be open in t

communication of all the matters regarding threign treaties and agreements. He explains that the Congress has the mandate to limit the powers of the president to declare war. He further states that "frustrated over the president's use of the communication-in-chief and emountive classes of the Constitution to intervene abroad, in the 1970s Congress adopted several measures to limit his war-making ability." (McCormick, 2014, p.312).

The U.S Septeme Court decised an approach to the question of whether executive privileges and executes are constitutionally protected. The court said in U.S v. Nimes that "projection of the confidentiality of the productiful executives in court weighted the execute of advantages and the executive private. In both cases, the court weighted the execute of advantages are the constitutional obligation engine the product the product of the executive party of the product the product of the executive party of the product of the product of the executive party of the product of the product of the executive party of the product of the product of the executive party of the product of

and treaties between two nations. Considerably, the cases asserted that no nation has the power to prevail against international agreements and affairs. Conflicting Henkin's theory, the cases rulings asserted that the members of the Senate might be ignored on participating in foreign treaties and agreements. Considerably, the cases dealt with the legal status of executive agreements and the decisions in the cases gave the president another means of enhancing his foreign policy powers."(McCormick, 2014, p.271).

Question 3

In the foreign policy-making process, the President seems to have dominated policy-making post-WWII? What is the evidence that would support this view and discuss the reasons McCormick gives for this apparent phenomenon? Of McCormick's reasons, which do you consider the most important in creating this phenomenon, and why?

The World War II was significant in impacting the United States foreign policy. For instance, McCormick (2014) states that "...after the War of 1812, for example, America immediately reaffirmed its policy of non-involvement in European affairs..." (p.26). Besides, after the World War II, America experienced changes in response to the shock of the World War II, such as the destruction of the major European powers of France, Britain, and Germany with the emergence of the Soviet challenge and other political changes (McCormick, 2014). Considerably, after the World War II, the president seemed to gain more power in dominating the foreign policymaking process. McCormick asserts that "...by the late 1940s and early 1950s, executive dominance was fully in place" (McCormick, 2014, p.258). The World War II resulted in the growth of presidential power regarding the executive powers of the president in foreign affairs with close regards to the Soviet Union challenge.

To justify this claim, McCormick provided evidence from previous presidential reigns to show executive dominance. To start with, he mentions President Recorveit to show the reemergence of executive dominance. For instance, "President Recorveit acted to sid the British in
1940 with the "destroyers for bases" deal, an arrangement in which the United States sold 50
destroyers to British. "(McCormick, 2014, p.256). Additionally, it is during the mign of President

James K, Polk that executive dominance was seen once again. President James K. Polk exploited his presidential powers to call for war without the consent and advice of the Congress. McCocmick (2014) states that "During the presidency of James K. Polk, presidential dominance arose once again when, without eaking Congress for authorisation, President Polk orders the U.S milling into the teachery that was disputed by Turns and Maxico" (p.257). Primarily, the author rules to Bush and Reagan administration to further illustrate the phenomenon.

Post the World Wer II, and it is justified that the United States presidents in history have dominated power at the expense of the Congress. This has easy in the provident to last in response to the anticed tenergencies in which the president under the executive branch to the provident for present of the last tenergy to the provident to distribute the executive of action to distribute the president for president because here been used by fineling policy experts and researchers to justify the reasons for presidential dominates (Chopie, 2014). One of the residential surfacely is that the president is more acquainted with fineling affilies than any other body of the government.

The president is the core representative of the federal government about international relations and foreign policymaking. This is justified by the sense that the president has a better opportunity of understanding the conditions prevailing in the international world of politics and also the state of foreign nations in times of war. These claims can be justified by the case of President Roosevelt's international agreements. McCormick (2014) writes that "President Theodore Roosevelt made a secret agreement with Japan over Korea in 1905 and made a

"Gentlemen's Agreement" in 1907 to restrict Japanese immigration into the United States" (p.263). In essence, I consider that the McCormick's justification for executive power to be applicable in the sense that the President is the sole organ of the nation that is identified in foreign countries and he has the potential to respond to matters that affect the nation. This is in support that the president is elected by the public and thus has the public's interests and concerns at the core of his leadership.

Extra Credit

Why are the last four (4) chapters (Chps 9-12) of McCormick's Part II of lesser importance in a discussion of the making of American foreign policy than the 1st two (Chps 7-8)? What is important in foreign policy-making about the entities that McCormick identifies in those last four chapters and how do they do it?

McCormick gives a detailed overview of the process of foreign policymaking in the first four chapters in Part II. With this in mind, chapter 7 and 8 give a significant insight of the foreign policy-making process highlighting the functions of the branches of the government. The chapters provide a significant amount of information about the roles of the president and the Congress in the control of the president and the congress in the control of the president and the congres

process. The catilies include, the delenational economic learners and public pulsar. The catilies have been at the cases of farsign policy ensigns and tray have as almost including the articles have been at the cases of farsign policy ensigns and tray have as almost including the articles in farsign policy farminates. The catilies are variable intitudes in the articles policy farminates. The catilies are variable intitudes in the articles policy farminates the cataline of the farsign policies. In cases, the includes of the cataline in the policies through debates, dialogue, conflict and compromise with the other branches of the government. Essentially the quest and struggle for relevance foreign policy through argument and information are important in ensuring the entities influence the foreign policy decision-makers in formulating policies that are consistent with national interests and with the different entities own interests.

PAPERS

References

- Cooper, P. J. (2014). By order of the president: The use and abuse of executive direct action.

 University Press of Kansas.
- Grove, T. L. (2014). Article III in the Political Branches. Notre Dame L. Rev., 90, 1835.
- McCormick, J. (2013). Cengage Advantage: American Foreign Policy and Process. Nelson Education.
- Wilson, J. Q., DiIulio Jr, J. J., & Bose, M. (2016). *American government: Institutions and policies*.

 Cengage Learning.

